JUDGE KETANJI BROWN JACKSON
U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, Supreme Court Nominee

KEY EXPERIENCE:
• 2021-Present: Judge, U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit
• 2013-2021: Judge, U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
• 2010-2014: Vice-Chair, U.S. Sentencing Commission
• 1999-2000: Law Clerk for Justice Stephen Breyer

EDUCATION:
• 1996: Harvard Law School, J.D.
• 1992: Harvard University, B.A.

AGE:
51

KEY ISSUES

SANCTITY OF LIFE/FREE SPEECH/RELIGIOUS LIBERTY: As an attorney, Jackson co-authored an amicus brief on behalf of the National Abortion Rights Action League (NARAL) and other abortion groups, supporting the creation of a viewpoint-discriminatory free speech “buffer zone” outside abortion clinics. Within these zones, abortion clinic workers could speak to pregnant women, but pro-life advocates could not. The brief disparaged pro-life advocates—often religiously-motivated mothers and grandmothers seeking to support pregnant women—as a “hostile, noisy crowd of ‘in-your-face’ protestors.”

SANCTITY OF LIFE/RELIGIOUS LIBERTY: After the Trump Administration terminated funding to Teen Pregnancy Prevention Programs (which had included Planned Parenthood programs), Jackson restored funding to all 81 programs nationwide, ensuring that American tax dollars went to Planned Parenthood.

JUDICIAL ACTIVISM:
• Jackson acknowledged that President Trump had the statutory and constitutional authority to issue executive orders regarding the termination of poorly performing federal employees but stopped government officials from implementing the orders. Jackson’s ruling was unanimously reversed by an ideologically diverse D.C. Circuit panel, which held that Jackson lacked jurisdiction to hear the case.
• Jackson overruled presidential immunity to find that former White House counsel Don McGahn was required to testify before the House Judiciary Committee. The D.C. Circuit reversed, finding that constitutional doctrine of separation of powers prevented “unelected and unaccountable federal judges” from intervening in the matter.
• Jackson issued a sweeping nationwide preliminary injunction preventing the Department of Homeland Security from expanding its “Expedited Removal” program for non-citizens. The D.C. Circuit reversed, finding Jackson had engaged in judicial “abuse of discretion” in issuing the injunction.

DEFERENCE TO GOVERNMENT AGENCIES: Jackson has exhibited a concerning trend of outsourcing the judicial task of interpreting the law to administrative agencies.

FIRST AMENDMENT: Jackson has not heard many cases on First Amendment matters and has no notable First Amendment cases with negative reasoning. However, the high rate at which appellate courts overturn her decisions, discussed below, shows that she frequently gets the law wrong on constitutional matters. Also, her statements to the Senate Judiciary Committee during her previous confirmation hearings, discussed below, raise serious concerns about how she would interpret the First Amendment’s protections of free speech and religious liberty.

REVERSAL RATE: During her time as a district judge, Jackson was one of the most frequently reversed judges on the D.C. federal district court, a statistic that signals low judicial competence.

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE STATEMENTS: In answer to Senators’ questions, Jackson:
• Praised stare decisis (which judges often use to uphold controversial legal precedents such as Roe v. Wade and Employment Division v. Smith);
• Refused to say whether or not she believed in the idea of a “living constitution”;
• Implied that she does not believe the church autonomy doctrine provides clear, robust protection for religious organizations;
• Implied that schools that receive federal funding may discriminate against students and teachers for their religious, ideological, or political speech;
• Indicated that she supported a broad reading of the Fifth, Fourteenth, and Ninth Amendments as a source of unenumerated rights, and that she viewed those Amendments as the source of the “right to terminate a pregnancy,” among other rights.15

WRITING STYLE: Legal writing guru Ross Guberman said Jackson’s writing features the “charged rhetoric that you see in many of Justice Sotomayor’s recent dissents,” indicating that we can expect Sotomayor-like writing and reasoning from a Justice Jackson.

COMMITMENT TO PROGRESSIVE CAUSES: Jackson has a long history of commitment to progressive causes. She is a registered Democrat, was an Obama campaign volunteer,16 and donated to Barack Obama’s 2008 presidential campaign while her husband donated $1600 to Hillary Clinton’s 2016 campaign. She clerked for Justice Breyer,17 one of the Supreme Court’s liberal justices, and has been a frequent speaker for the liberal American Constitution Society since 2007.18

SUPPORTERS: Jackson has earned the support and praise of virtually every liberal activist group in America, including AFL-CIO, Alliance for Justice, National Council of Jewish Women, National Education Association, The National Women’s Law Center, People for the American Way, and The Leadership Conference on Civil & Human Rights, a coalition of:

• ANTI-RELIGIOUS LIBERTY GROUPS: American Atheists, ACLU, American Humanist Association, Americans United for Separation of Church and State, Freedom from Religion Foundation, Southern Poverty Law Center, etc.

• PRO-ABORTION GROUPS: Center for Reproductive Rights, NOW, Planned Parenthood, etc.

• PRO-LGBT RIGHTS GROUPS: Human Rights Campaign, Lambda Legal, National Center for Lesbian Rights, National Center for Transgender Equality, and more.

Jackson has been championed by Demand Justice, a group which has received funding from Arabella Advisors, (a “massive progressive dark-money group”) and from the Open Society Policy Center (a non-profit in George Soros’ network).
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